

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/687,156	10/16/2003	Rick L. Adkins	PO-7934/MD-02-75	7891	
157 RAVER MAT	7590 02/05/2007 ERIAL SCIENCE LLC		EXAMINER		
100 BAYER ROAD			SERGENT, RABON A		
PITTSBURGE	I, PA 15205		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1711		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/687,156	ADKINS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rabon Sergent	1711	

	Rabon Sergent	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	late of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		N and the conservation of				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	, -	jected claims.	•			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		,				
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:		•				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-17 and 19-31</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected. <u>17-77 and 19-31.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-10 and 32-53.</u>						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	•	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	, 0				
13. Other:		Rabon Sergent	A			
		Rabon Sergent Primary Examiner	J			

Art Unit: 1711

Continuation of 3.: Applicants' proposed amendment sets forth limitations not previously claimed that would require further consideration and/ or search.

Continuation of 11.: Applicants' response is largely based upon amendments that will not entered; therefore, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claim limitations. Furthermore, in response to applicants' argument within page 26 of the response that the polyol diluents of Holeschovsky et al. are not low intrinsic unsaturation polyols, it is noted that Holeschovsky et al. state at column 7, line 12 that the argued polyol "need not be of the low unsaturation type"; therefore, in view of the "need not" language, it is clear that patentees allow for the use of low intrinsic unsaturation polyols or conventional polyols. It is clear that this language merely sets forth alternative embodiments governing the selection of the polyol, and it cannot be argued that the language teaches away from the use of low intrinsic unsaturation polyols.

RABON SERGENT